arXiv:1702.04228v2 [hep-th] 19 Feb 2017

Quenched equals annealed at leading order in the colored SYK model

Razvan Gurau*

February 21, 2017

Abstract

We consider a colored version of the SYK model, that is we distinguish the D vector fermionic fields involved in the interaction by a color. We prove that at leading order in $1/N$ the quenched and annealed colored SYK models are identical.

1 Introduction

The SYK model [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] has recently attracted a lot of activity in the context of the AdS/CFT duality. Starting from a vector real fermion χ_a with N components, the SYK model is defined by the action:

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{-\beta/2}^{\beta/2} d\tau \sum_a \chi_a(\tau)\partial_\tau \chi_a(\tau) + \sum_{a^1,\dots a^D} T_{a^1\dots a^D}\int_{-\beta/2}^{\beta/2} d\tau\ \chi_{a^1}(\tau)\dots\chi_{a^D}(\tau)\ ,$$

where the couplings T are time independent quenched random couplings with Gaussian distribution:

$$d\nu(T) = \left(\prod_{a^1,\dots a^D}\sqrt{\frac{N^{D-1}}{2\pi}}dT_{a^1,\dots a^D}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}N^{D-1}\sum_{a^1,\dots a^D} T_{a^1\dots a^D}T_{a^1\dots a^D}}\ .$$

This model has a large N limit dominated by melonic graphs [3, 4, 6].

Due to the quenching, the SYK model is not a genuine quantum mechanical model, but a statistical average of models. In a recent paper [7] Witten observed that the quenching can be eliminated if one considers a tensor model version of the SYK model [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]: one obtains a melonic phase in the large N limit already for the annealed tensor SYK model.

In this paper we show that the quenching in the usual (vector) SYK models was in fact not needed to begin with. We consider a colored version of the SYK model (that is we distinguish the D fields in the interaction by a color index). Building on results on random tensors [12], we prove

*rgurau@cpht.polytechnique.fr, Centre de Physique Théorique, École Polytechnique, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91128 Palaiseau, France and Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, 31 Caroline St. N, N2L 2Y5, Waterloo, ON, Canada.

that at leading order in $1/N$ the quenched and annealed versions of the model are identical. Similar behavior is exhibited by the random energy model.

The colored model we discuss here has been introduced in the random tensor models context some years ago [13]. In the SYK context, it is one of the models discussed at length in [14].

This result suggest that in the colored version of the vector SYK model one can promote the time independent random couplings to a genuine field with its own dynamic. Choosing this dynamic appropriately should allow one to build also for vector SYK models (as it was possible for tensor SYK models) true quantum field theories with a melonic phase at large N. Recently a model of this kind has been discussed in [15]. However, such models should be treated with care: as explained in [7] in this case the thermodynamic entropy of the new field (which has N^D components) largely dominates that of the fermions (which have DN components).

2 The colored SYK model

Let us consider D complex[1] fermionic fields $\psi^1 \dots \psi^D$ living in the fundamental representation of $U(N)$ (that is each field ψ^c is a vector with N components). We call the upper index $c \in \{1, \dots D\}$ the *color* of the field ψ^c and we consider the action:

$$S = \int d\tau \Big[\sum_{c=1}^{D} \Big(\sum_{a^c} \bar{\psi}^c_{a^c} \frac{d}{d\tau} \psi^c_{a^c} \Big) - J \sum_{a^1,\dots a^D} T_{a^1 \dots a^D} \psi^1_{a^1} \dots \psi^D_{a^D} - \bar{J} \sum_{a^1,\dots a^D} \bar{\psi}^D_{a^D} \dots \bar{\psi}^1_{a^1} \bar{T}_{a^1 \dots a^D} \Big] .$$

The time independent random coupling T has Gaussian distribution:

$$[d\bar{T} dT] \equiv \Big(\prod_{a^1,\dots a^D} \frac{N^{D-1} d\bar{T}_{a^1 \dots a^D} dT_{a^1 \dots a^D}}{2\pi\imath} \Big) ,$$

$$d\nu(T, \bar{T}) = [d\bar{T} dT] \; e^{-N^{D-1} \sum_{a^1,\dots a^D} T_{a^1 \dots a^D} \bar{T}_{a^1 \dots a^D}} .$$

If D is even we take T to be a boson, while if D is odd we take it to be a fermion.

In the quenched version of the model one computes the thermal partition function Z^T, the thermal free energy $\ln Z^T$ and finally the averaged thermal free energy $\langle \ln Z^T \rangle$:

$$Z^T = \int [d\bar{\psi} d\psi] \; e^{-S} , \qquad \langle \ln Z^T \rangle = \int d\nu(T, \bar{T}) \; \ln Z^T .$$

In the annealed version one computes the partition function $\langle Z^T \rangle$ and the free energy $\ln \langle Z^T \rangle$:

$$\langle Z^T \rangle = \int d\nu(T, \bar{T}) \; Z^T , \qquad \ln \langle Z^T \rangle .$$

In this paper we show that both $\langle \ln Z^T \rangle$ and $\ln \langle Z^T \rangle$ scale like N and that:

$$\boxed{\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\langle \ln Z^T \rangle}{N} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\ln \langle Z^T \rangle}{N} .}$$

Similar results hold for the correlation functions of the two models: the disorder averages of the thermal correlations functions equal the the full correlation functions at leading order.

[1] We use complex fields to simplify the proofs, but our result should go trough with small modifications for real fermionic fields.

3 Proof of the main result

We first review some standard properties of edge colored graphs. More details about such graphs can be found in [12, 16].

3.1 Edge colored graphs

We will be dealing below with D– and $(D+1)$–colored graphs.

Definition 1. *A bipartite, edge $(D+1)$–colored graph $\mathcal{G}$ is a graph such that:*

- *the set of vertices is the disjoint union of the set of black vertices and the set of white vertices*
- *all the edges connect a black and a white vertex and have a color $c \in \{0, 1, \dots D\}$*
- *all the vertices are $(D+1)$–valent and all the edges incident at a vertex have different colors*

D–colored graphs are defined similarly, taking the set of colors to be $\{1, \dots D\}$. Some examples of 3–colored graphs are presented in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: Edge 3-colored graphs.

We call the subgraphs with two colors c_1c_2 of $\mathcal{G}$ the *faces with colors* c_1c_2 of $\mathcal{G}$. We denote $F^{c_1c_2}(\mathcal{G})$ the number of faces with colors c_1c_2 of $\mathcal{G}$ and $F(\mathcal{G})$ the total number of faces of $\mathcal{G}$. We furthermore denote $k(\mathcal{G})$ the number of white vertices of $\mathcal{G}$ (which equals the number of black vertices of $\mathcal{G}$).

Theorem 1. *[12, 16] Let $D \geq 2$. For any connected $(D+1)$–colored graph $\mathcal{G}$ there exists a non negative integer $\omega(\mathcal{G})$ such that:*

$$F(\mathcal{G}) = \frac{D(D-1)}{2} k(\mathcal{G}) + D - \frac{2}{(D-1)!}\omega(\mathcal{G}) \,. \tag{1}$$

Proof. Any cyclic permutation π over the colors $\{0, \dots D\}$ yields an embedding of $\mathcal{G}$ into a surface by arranging the edges clockwise in the order $0, \pi(0), \pi^2(0), \dots, \pi^D(0)$ around the white vertices and in the reverse order around the black vertices. Each embedding is a combinatorial map $\mathcal{J}_\pi$ (called a

jacket) whose faces are the faces with colors $\pi^q(0)\pi^{q+1}(0)$ with $q = 0, \dots D$ of $\mathcal{G}$. The Euler relation for $\mathcal{J}_\pi$ reads:

$$\sum_{q=0}^{D} F^{\pi^q(0)\pi^{q+1}(0)} = (D+1)k(\mathcal{G}) - 2k(\mathcal{G}) + 2 - 2g(\mathcal{J}_\pi) \ ,$$

where $g(\mathcal{J}_\pi)$ is the genus of the map $\mathcal{J}_\pi$. Summing over the $D!$ possible cycles π and taking into account that each face belongs to $2(D-1)!$ jackets (corresponding to the cycles such that $\pi(c_1) = c_2$ or $\pi(c_2) = c_1$ for the faces with colors c_1c_2) proves the theorem with:

$$\omega(\mathcal{G}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\pi} g(\mathcal{J}_\pi) \ ,$$

which is an integer as $g(\mathcal{J}_\pi) = g(\mathcal{J}_{\pi^{-1}})$

□

The integer $\omega(\mathcal{G})$, which is obviously an integer multiple of $(D-1)!/2$, is called the *degree* of $\mathcal{G}$. The degree of a disconnected graph is the sum of the degrees of its connected components and if $\mathcal{G}$ has $C(\mathcal{G})$ connected components, Theorem 1 becomes:

$$F(\mathcal{G}) = \frac{D(D-1)}{2}k(\mathcal{G}) + DC(\mathcal{G}) - \frac{2}{(D-1)!}\omega(\mathcal{G}) \ .$$

Observe that this relation holds also for 2-colored graphs (which are just unions of cycles with 2 colors), with the convention that the degree of any 2-colored graph is 0.

We call the connected subgraphs made by the edges with colors $\{1, \dots D\}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ the *D–bubbles* of $\mathcal{G}$. They are D-colored graphs in the sense of the Definition 1.

Theorem 2. *[12, 16] Let us denote $\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{E}^0$ the D-colored graph obtained from $\mathcal{G}$ by deleting the edges of color 0 (that is the disjoint union of the D–bubbles of $\mathcal{G}$). The graph $\mathcal{G}$ can be connected or not. Observe that if $\mathcal{G}$ is connected it can still be the case that $\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{E}^0$ is disconnected. We have:*

$$\omega(\mathcal{G}) \geq D\omega(\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{E}^0) \ .$$

Proof. Each jacket of $\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{E}^0$ is obtained from D jackets of $\mathcal{G}$ by erasing the edges of color 0 in the jacket. The genus of a map (which is the sum of the genera of its connected components if the map is disconnected) can only decrease by erasing edges. The number of faces can either increases or decreases by one when deleting an edge, and it always increases by one if the deletion separates a new connected component of the map. □

It is particularly convenient to encode edge D–colored graphs (respectively $(D+1)$–colored graphs) in D-uples (respectively $(D+1)$–uples) of permutations. This goes as follows. Let us denote $\mathfrak{S}(k)$ the set of permutations of k elements. To any D–uple of permutations over k elements $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}} = (\sigma^{(1)}, \dots \sigma^{(D)}) \in \mathfrak{S}(k)^D$ one associates the edge D–colored graph obtained by drawing k white vertices labeled $1, \dots k$, k black vertices labeled $1, \dots k$ and connecting the white vertex k to the black vertex $\sigma^{(c)}(k)$ by an edge of color c. Some examples are represented in Fig. 2.

Abusing the notation, we will denote $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ both the D–uple of permutations and the associated D–colored graph. The faces with colors c_1c_2 of $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ are the cycles of the permutation $\sigma^{(c_1)}[\sigma^{(c_2)}]^{-1}$. Edge $(D+1)$–colored graphs are encoded in a $(D+1)$–uple of permutations $\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$.



Figure 2: 3-colored graphs and associated permutations. The permutations $\sigma^{(c)}$ are written in cycle notation, the capital labels designate the vertices and the lower-case labels designate the colors of the edges.

In this notation, Theorem 2 becomes:

$$\omega(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) \geq D\omega(\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) \ , \tag{2}$$

and Theorem 1 applied for $(D+1)$– and D–colored graphs with k white vertices becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} F(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) &= \frac{D(D-1)}{2}k + DC(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) - \frac{2}{(D-1)!}\omega(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) \ , \\ F(\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) &= \frac{(D-1)(D-2)}{2}k + (D-1)C(\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) - \frac{2}{(D-2)!}\omega(\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where we recall that $C(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}})$ (respectively $C(\sigma^{\mathcal{D}})$) denotes the number of connected components of the graph $\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ (respectively $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$).

3.2 The thermal partition function

We define [12] the *trace invariant* associated to the edge colored graph $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ as:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}}(T,\bar{T}) = \sum_{a_i^c, b_i^c} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} T_{a_i^1 \dots a_i^D} \bar{T}_{b_i^1 \dots b_i^D} \right) \left(\prod_{c=1}^{D} \prod_{i=1}^{c} \delta_{a_i^c b^c_{\sigma^{(c)}(i)}} \right) .$$

In words, the trace invariant is built by putting tensors at the vertices of $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ and contracting them along the edges. We take a tensor T for every white vertex ($T_{a_i^1 \dots a_i^D}$ for the white vertex i) and a complex conjugated tensor $\bar{T}$ for every black vertex ($\bar{T}_{b_i^1, \dots b_i^D}$ for the black vertex i). Every edge in $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ connects a white and a black vertex and has a color c. Say $\sigma^{(c)}(i) = j$, that is the white vertex i and the black vertex j are connected by an edge of color c Corresponding to this edge, we contract the index in the position c of the tensor $T_{a_i^1 \dots a_i^D}$ (that is a_i^c) to the index in the position c of the tensor $\bar{T}_{b_j^1, \dots b_j^D}$ (that is b_j^c).

We denote the propagator of the SYK model by:

$$C^{-1}(\tau,\tau') = \delta(\tau-\tau')\partial_{\tau'} \ , \qquad C(\tau,\tau') = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{sgn}(\tau-\tau') \ ,$$

and we define the *partial amplitude* of the graph $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ as:

$$A_{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}} = (J\bar{J})^k \Big(\prod_{c=1}^{D} \epsilon(\sigma^{(c)})\Big) \int \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} d\tau_i d\tau_i'\Big) \prod_{c=1}^{D}\prod_{i=1}^{k} C(\tau_i, \tau'_{\sigma^{(c)}(i)}) \ ,$$

where $\epsilon(\sigma^{(c)})$ is the signature of the permutation $\sigma^{(c)}$. As the moments of the Grassmann Gaussian integral for one field ψ are:

$$\int [d\bar{\psi}d\psi]\ e^{-\int d\tau d\tau' \sum_a \bar{\psi}_a(\tau)(C^{-1})(\tau,\tau')\psi_b(\tau')} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \psi_{a_i}(\tau_i)\bar{\psi}_{b_i}(\tau_i') = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}(k)} \epsilon(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \delta_{a_i b_{\sigma(i)}} C(\tau_i, \tau'_{\sigma(i)}) \ ,$$

integrating out the fermions, the thermal partition function and the thermal free energy of the colored SYK model become:

$$\begin{aligned} Z^T &= \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{1}{k!k!} \sum_{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}\in\mathfrak{S}(k)^D} A_{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}}(T,\bar{T}) \ , \\ \ln Z^T &= \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{k!k!} \sum_{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}\in\mathfrak{S}(k)^D}^{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}} \text{ connected}} A_{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}}(T,\bar{T}) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

3.3 Integrating the disorder

It remains to integrate out the disorder. For both the quenched and the annealed versions we need to compute:

$$\big\langle \operatorname{Tr}_{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}}(T,\bar{T})\big\rangle = \int [d\bar{T}dT]\ e^{-N^{D-1}\sum_{a^1,\dots a^D} \bar{T}_{a^1\dots a^D} T_{a^1\dots a^D}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}}(T,\bar{T}) \ ,$$

i.e. the expectation of a trace invariant in a Gaussian random tensor model [12]. The only difference between the quenched and the annealed versions is that $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ is a connected graph for the quenched model, while it can be disconnected in the annealed version.

For any permutation $\sigma^{(0)}$, $[\epsilon(\sigma^{(0)})]^D$ is 1 for D even (when T is bosonic) and $\epsilon(\sigma^{(0)})$ for D odd (when T is fermionic) therefore the moments of the Gaussian tensor measure can be written for all D as:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int [d\bar{T}dT]\ e^{-N^{D-1}\sum_{a^1,\dots a^D} \bar{T}_{a^1\dots a^D} T_{a^1\dots a^D}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} T_{a_i^1\dots a_i^D} \bar{T}_{b_i^1\dots b_i^D}\Big) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma^{(0)}\in\mathfrak{S}(k)} [\epsilon(\sigma^{(0)})]^D \prod_{i=1}^{k} \Big(\frac{1}{N^{D-1}} \prod_{c=1}^{D} \delta_{a_i^c b^c_{\sigma^{(0)}(i)}}\Big) \ . \end{aligned}$$

The Gaussian expectation of an invariant $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ will be a sum over edge $(D+1)$–colored graphs $\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ with one new color, 0. One obtains a free sum per face with colors $0c$ for all $c = \{1,\dots D)$ in the graph $\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$, that is:

$$\big\langle \operatorname{Tr}_{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}}(T,\bar{T})\big\rangle = \sum_{\sigma^{(0)}\in\mathfrak{S}(k)} [\epsilon(\sigma^{(0)})]^D N^{-(D-1)k+\sum_{c=1}^{D} F^{0c}(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}})} \ .$$

We now observe that the faces with colors $0c$ of $\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ are all the faces of $\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ minus the faces not containing the color 0, that is the faces of $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$:

$$\sum_{c=1}^{D} F^{0c}(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) = F(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) - F(\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) \; .$$

Using Eq. 3 for the $(D+1)$–colored graph $\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ and its D–colored subgraph $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned} &F(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) - F(\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) \\ &= (D-1)k + DC(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) - (D-1)C(\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) - \frac{2}{(D-1)!}\omega(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) + \frac{2}{(D-2)!}\omega(\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) \; . \end{aligned}$$

This relation holds for all $D \geq 2$ (with the convention that for $D=2$, $\omega(\sigma^{\mathcal{D}})=0$). We then obtain the Gaussian expectation of a trace invariant:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \mathrm{Tr}_{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}}(T,\bar{T}) \right\rangle = &\sum_{\sigma^{(0)} \in \mathfrak{S}(k)} [\epsilon(\sigma^{(0)})]^D \\ &\times N^{DC(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) - (D-1)C(\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) - \frac{2}{D!}\omega(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) - \frac{2}{D(D-2)!}\left(\omega(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) - D\omega(\sigma^{\mathcal{D}})\right)} \; . \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Eq. 4 we obtain for the quenched and annealed versions:

$$\left\langle \ln Z^T \right\rangle = \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{k!k!} \sum_{\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}} \in \mathfrak{S}(k)^{D+1}}^{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}} \text{ connected}} A_{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}} [\epsilon(\sigma^{(0)})]^D N^{1 - \frac{2}{D!}\omega(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) - \frac{2}{D(D-2)!}\left(\omega(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) - D\omega(\sigma^{\mathcal{D}})\right)} \; ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \left\langle Z^T \right\rangle = &\sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{k!k!} \sum_{\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}} \in \mathfrak{S}(k)^{D+1}}^{\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}} \text{ connected}} A_{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}} [\epsilon(\sigma^{(0)})]^D \\ &\times N^{1-(D-1)\left[C(\sigma^{\mathcal{D}})-1\right] - \frac{2}{D!}\omega(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) - \frac{2}{D(D-2)!}\left(\omega(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) - D\omega(\sigma^{\mathcal{D}})\right)} \; . \end{aligned}$$

As the degree is non-negative and recalling Eq. 2 we observe that these two formulae are $1/N$ expansions: the scaling with N of a term is N or lower. The only difference between the two formulae is that in the quenched model, *i.e.* for $\left\langle \ln Z^T \right\rangle$, $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ is required to be connected (which of course implies that $\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ is connected), while in the annealed model, *i.e.* for $\ln \left\langle Z^T \right\rangle$, $\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ is required to be connected (while $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ can be disconnected). However, if $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ is disconnected, then its contribution is suppressed by a factor:

$$N^{-(D-1)\left[C(\sigma^{\mathcal{D}})-1\right]} \leq N^{-(D-1)} \; .$$

It follows that:

$$\boxed{\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\left\langle \ln Z^T \right\rangle}{N} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\ln \left\langle Z^T \right\rangle}{N} = \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{k!k!} \sum_{\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}} \in \mathfrak{S}(k)}^{\substack{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}} \text{ connected} \\ \omega(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}})=0}} A_{\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}} [\epsilon(\sigma^{(0)})]^D \; .}$$

This equality holds for all $D \geq 2$.

3.4 The leading order graphs

For all $D \geq 2$ the leading order graphs $\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ are such that:

- $\omega(\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) = 0$, which from Eq. 2 implies that also $\omega(\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}) = 0$
- $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ is connected, which implies that also $\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ is connected

The leading order graphs must be analyzed separately for $D = 2$ and $D \geq 3$.

The $D = 2$ case. The connected graphs with two colors are bi colored cycles. Adding the edges of color 0 one obtains 3-colored graphs which are just combinatorial maps. In this case the degree is the genus of the map. It ensues that only planar trivalent graphs with a unique face with colors 1 and 2 contribute in the large N limit. The number of such unlabeled graphs with k white vertices and one edge of color 1 marked is:

$$\frac{1}{2k+1}\binom{2k+1}{k}$$

Some examples are presented in Fig. 3



Figure 3: The 5 leading order $(2+1)$-colored graphs with three white vertices. The edges of color 0 are represented as dashed.

The $D \geq 3$ case. For $D \geq 3$, $(D+1)$–colored graphs of degree zero are *melonic graphs* [17]. The first example of a melonic graph is the graph with two vertices connected by $D+1$ edges. All the other melonic graphs are obtained by inserting iteratively two vertices connected by D edges on any of the available edges of a melonic graph. Observe that if $\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ is melonic, then $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ is also melonic. The number of melonic D-colored graphs with k white vertices and a marked edge of color 1 is:

$$\frac{1}{Dk+1}\binom{Dk+1}{k}.$$

If $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ is a melonic graph then there exists a unique permutation $\sigma^{(0)}$ such that $\sigma^{(0)}\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$ is melonic. Some examples are presented in Fig. 4.



Figure 4: Some examples of leading order $(3+1)$-colored graphs with three white vertices.

This family of graphs has been extensively studied in the literature [3].

References

[1] S. Sachdev and J. Ye. Gapless spin fluid ground state in a random, quantum Heisenberg magnet. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 70:3339, 1993.

[2] A. Kitaev. A simple model of quantum holography. *KITP strings seminar and Entanglement 2015 program (Feb. 12, April 7, and May 27, 2015).*

[3] Juan Maldacena and Douglas Stanford. Remarks on the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model. *Phys. Rev.*, D94(10):106002, 2016.

[4] Joseph Polchinski and Vladimir Rosenhaus. The Spectrum in the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev Model. *JHEP*, 04:001, 2016.

[5] Wenbo Fu, Davide Gaiotto, Juan Maldacena, and Subir Sachdev. Supersymmetric Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models. *Phys. Rev.*, D95(2):026009, 2017.

[6] A. Jevicki, K. Suzuki, and J. Yoon. Bi-Local Holography in the SYK Model. *JHEP*, 07:007, 2016.

[7] E. Witten. An SYK-Like Model Without Disorder. 2016.

[8] Razvan Gurau. The complete $1/N$ expansion of a SYK–like tensor model. *Nucl. Phys.*, B916:386–401, 2017.

[9] Igor R. Klebanov and Grigory Tarnopolsky. Uncolored Random Tensors, Melon Diagrams, and the SYK Models. *Phys. Rev.*, D95(4):046004, 2017.

[10] Cheng Peng, Marcus Spradlin, and Anastasia Volovich. A Supersymmetric SYK-like Tensor Model. 2016.

[11] Chethan Krishnan, Sambuddha Sanyal, and P. N. Bala Subramanian. Quantum Chaos and Holographic Tensor Models. 2016.

[12] R. Gurau. *Random Tensors*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2016.

[13] V. Bonzom, R. Gurau, and M. Smerlak. Universality in p-spin glasses with correlated disorder. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2013(02):L02003, 2013.

[14] David J. Gross and Vladimir Rosenhaus. A Generalization of Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev. 2016.

[15] Takahiro Nishinaka and Seiji Terashima. A Note on Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev Like Model without Random Coupling. 2016.

[16] R. Gurau and J. P. Ryan. Colored tensor models - a review. *SIGMA*, 8:020, 2012.

[17] V. Bonzom, R. Gurau, A. Riello, and V. Rivasseau. Critical behavior of colored tensor models in the large N limit. *Nucl. Phys.*, B853:174–195, 2011.